

RP-HPLC Method Development and Validation For Estimation of Topiramate in Bulk and Tablet Dosage Form

G.RENUKA

DEPARTMENT OF PHARAMACEUTICAL ANALYSIS. MALLA REDDY COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, DHULAPALLY, MAISAMMAGUDA, MEDCHAL-MALKAJGIRI.

Date of Submission: 27-06-2023

Date of Acceptance: 08-07-2023 _____

ABSTRACT

A simple reverse-phase high performance liquid chromatographic (RP-HPLC) method has been developed and validated for the estimation of Topiramate Chromatography was carried out HPLC water with empower 2 software with isocratic with UV Detector. Selection of column on symmetryC18(4.6X250 mm)and 5um particle size of column , with a mobile phase composed Of phosphate buffer methanol (35:65 v/v) at a flow rate of mobile was adjusted by 1.0ml/min ,Detection was carried out using a UV detector at 265.nm .Parameters such as linearity, precision, accuracy, ruggedness, LOD and LOQ were studied as per the ICH Q2(R1) guidelines. The retention times were3.744min.for Topiramate The linearity range for Topiramate 0-70µg/ml. The correlation coefficients of Topiramate was found to be 0.999. it also done degradation studies to find out found to the loss of drug by acid ,alkali ,thermal, photo, and oxidation and refund within limits. So ,developed method was precise accurate and robust for estimation of Topiramate in pharmaceutical dosage forms. The proposed method can be useful in quality control of bulk manufacturing and pharmaceutical dosage forms.

Keywords: Topiramate, method validation, RP-HPLC, ICH guidelines.

I. **INTRODUCTION**

Topiramate (brand name Topamax) is an anticonvulsant drug produced by Ortho-McNeil Neurologic, a division of Johnson & Johnson. It is used to treat epilepsy in both children and adults. In children it is also indicated for treatment of Lennox-Gastaut syndrome (a disorder that causes seizures and developmental delays). It is also Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved for, and now most frequently prescribed for, the prevention of migraines. On August 2013, an extended released formulation, marketed as Trokendi XR has been approved for the management of partial onset, tonicclonic, and Lennox-Gastaut Syndrome seizures.



Figure : Structure of Topiramate The mechanism of action of topiramate is not known. However, studies have shown that topiramate blocks the action potentials elicited repetitively by a sustained depolarization of the neurons in a time-dependent manner, suggesting a state dependent sodium channel blocking action

II. MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT 2.1 Equipments

HPLC WATERS with Empower2 Software 0 with Isocratic with UV-Visible Detector, ELICO SL-159 UV - Vis spectrophotometer, High Precision Electronic Balance Ultra Sonicator (Wensar wuc-2L), Thermal Oven, Symmetry C₁₈ Column, 250

mm x 4.6 mm and 5µm particle size, P^H Analyzer (ELICO).

2.2 Chemicals and Reagents:

HPLC grade water, Methanol, Dipotassium hydrogen orthophosphate, Acetonitrile, Potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate, Ortho phosphoric acid.



2.3 Optimized chromatographic conditions:

Column : Symmetry C18, 250 mm x 4.6 mm i.d.5µm particle size Mobile Phase : Phosphate Buffer: Methanol (35:65) Flow Rate: 1.0ml/minute Wave length: 265 nm Injection volume: 20 µl Run time: 7 minutes Column temperature: Ambient

III. PREPARATION OF MOBILE PHASE AND DILUENT

3.1 Preparation of Phosphate buffer:

Weigh exactly 6.8 grams of Potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate and transferred into a 1 litre of beaker, dissolved and diluted up to 1000ml with HPLC Grade water. The pH was adjusted to 5.20 with diluted ortho-phosphoric acid solution.

3.2 Mobile phase Preparation:

650 ml of Acetonitrile HPLC (65%) and 350ml (35%) of phosphate buffer are mixed well and degassed in ultrasonic water bath for 15 minutes. The solution was filtered through $0.45\mu m$ filter under vacuum filtration

Mobile phase-A: Potassium di-hydrogen ortho phosphate buffer solution-(pH5.20)

Mobile phase-B: Methanol

3.3 Diluent Preparation:

Mobile phase is used as a diluent for the preparation of solutions.

IV. Preparation of the Topiramate Standard & Sample Solution:

4.1 Standard Solution Preparation:

Weigh exactly and transferred 10 mg of Topiramate standard working into a 10ml clean dry volumetric flask add around 8ml of Diluent and sonicated to dissolve it totally and make the volume up to the mark with the same solvent. (Stock solution). From the above stock solution, 0.1ml was pipette into a 10ml volumetric flask and diluted up to the mark with diluent.

4.2 Preparation of Sample:

First weigh 20 tablets and estimate the average weight of each tablet. Then the weight which was equivalent to 10mg was transferred into a 500 ml volumetric flask, 260ml of diluent added and sonicated for 25 minutes, further the volume made upto the market with the diluent and filtered. From the filtered solution 1ml was pipeted out into a 10 ml volumetric flask and made upto 10ml with diluent.





Figure : Estimation of Topiramate in Standard Tablet Dosage Form Standard

S.No.	Rt	Peak Area	Theoretical Plates	Tailing Factor		
1.	3.744	116731	3254	1.50		

Table : Results of Topiramate in Standard Tablet Dosage Form Standard



Figure : Results of Topiramate in Standard Tablet Dosage Form Sample

S.No.	Rt	Peak Area	Theoretical Plates	Tailing Factor
1	3.740	116731	3263	1.53

Table : Results of Topiramate in Standard Tablet Dosage Form Sample

V. Validation Parameters :

5.1 Accuracy:

The test for accuracy is intended to demonstrate the closeness of agreement between the value found and the value that is accepted either as conventional true value or as an accepted reference value. Thus the accuracy of the method is the closeness of the measured value to the true value for the sample. The accuracy can also be determined by recovery of the impurity spiked to a drug substance or into placebo with drug substance. The percentage recovery with the certain acceptance criteria at each defined level is reported. Accuracy should be assessed using a minimum of nine determinations at a minimum of three concentration levels covering the specified range.

DOI: 10.35629/7781-080495108 | Impact Factor value 7.429 ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Journal Page 97



						ADD	
ACCURACY 80%	Y	М	С	y-c	X=y-c/m	ppm	%recovery
1	90846	2663	3896	86950	32.651	32	102.034
2	89173	2663	3896	85277	32.022	32	100.068
3	88405	2663	3896	84509	31.734	32	99.168
						ADD	
ACCURACY 100%	Y	М	С	y-c	X=y-c/m	ppm	%recovery
1	112352	2663	3896	108456	40.726	40	101.815
2	108957	2663	3896	105061	39.452	40	98.63
3	111279	2663	3896	107383	40.324	40	100.81
						ADD	
ACCURACY 120%	Y	М	С	y-c	X=y-c/m	ppm	%recovery
1	133541	2663	3896	129645	48.683	48	101.433
2	130283	2663	3896	126387	47.460	48	98.875
3	131456	2663	3896	127560	47.900	48	99.791

Table : Accuracy studies of Topiramate tablet dosage form

Table: Accuracy studies for drugs as per % recovery at different levels

%Recovery	Topiramate
% Recovery at 80%	102.034
	100.068
	99.168
Avg	100.4233
Standard Deviation	1.465669
%RSD	1.45949
% Recovery at 100%	101.815
	98.63
	100.81
Avg	100.4183
Standard Deviation	1.628222
%RSD	1.621439
Recovery at 120%	101.433
	98.875
	99.791
Avg	100.033
Standard Deviation	1.296057
%RSD	1.29563



5.2 Precision and Reproducibility:

Precision of a method is the extent to which individual test results of multiple injections of a series of standards agree the measured standard deviation can be sub divided into three categories:

5.3 Repeatability:

Repeatability expresses the precision under the same operating conditions over a short interval of time. it is also termed as intra-day precision.

5.4 Intermediate precision:

Intermediate precision expresses within laboratories variations: different day, different analyst, different equipments etc

5.5 Reproducibility:

Reproducibility expresses the precision between laboratories collaborative studies, usually supplied to standardization of methodology

5.6 Linearity:

The purpose of the test for linearity is to demonstrate that the entire analytical system (including detector and data acquisition) exhibits a linear response and directly proportional over the is relevant concentration range for the target concentration of the analyte. It is recommended to perform the linearity of the API and related substances independently and once linearity has demonstrated, linearity could be performed containing both API and specific related substance if necessary. At least five concentrations within the range specified above for linearity test should be used.

S. No.	CONC. (µg/ml)	AUC (n=6)
1		
	0	0
2		
	20	59731
3		
	30	85677
4		
	40	112028
5		
	50	139014
6		
	60	161889
7		
	70	188021

Table : Linearity results for Topiramate with graph

Figure : Linearity Curve for Topiramate



5.7 Method Robustness:

To verify the robustness of the method, the analysis was done under variable flow rates, mobile

phase & temperature. The flow rate as per the developed method is 1.0 mL/min. This has been purposely changed to 1.2 mL/min and 0.8 mL/min,



the mobile phase was (65:35) in the ratio of Methanol : Phosphate buffer – purposely changed to decrease and increase of Methanol volume as 67:33 ; 63:37 chromatogram was obtained. By this the developed method was robust no much change to analyse the compound.

Table :	Peak	area	showing	the	standard	at	Flow	rate	of	0.8ml/min	_	compared	with	decreased	flow rate
				as ().6ml/min	an	d incre	eased	flo	w rate as 1.	.0r	nl/min.			

S.No.	Standard (1.0ml/ml)	Minus 0.2ml/min	Plus 0.2ml/min
	Topiramate	Topiramate	Topiramate
1	116587	118547	117896
2	117436	118321	117265
Mean	117011.5	118434	117580.5
S.D	600.3337	159.8061	446.1844
% RSD	0.513055	0.134933	0.379471

Table : Peak area showing the standard mobile phase as 65:35 ratio - decrease and increase of Buffer volume as 67:33 : 63:37.

S.No.	Standard (65:35)	Minus 0.2ml/min	Plus 0.2ml/min		
	Alogliptin	Alogliptin	Alogliptin		
1	117854	118412	116985		
2	117952	118674	117484		
Mean	117903	118543	117234.5		
S.D	69.29646	185.262	352.8463		
% RSD	0.058774	0.156283	0.300975		

5.8 Limit of detection: LOD is defined as lowest concentration of the analyte that can be detected, but not necessarily quantified, by the analytical method. Based on signal to noise ratio: A signal to noise ratio between 3 or 2:1 is generally considered acceptable for estimating the detection limit.

5.9 Limit of quantification: LOQ is defined as the lowest concentration of the analyte that can be determined with acceptable accuracy and precision by the analytical method. Based on signal to noise ratio: signal to noise ratio between 10:1 is generally constant

Table: Showing LOD and LOQ for the drugs					
		Topiramate			
	LOD	0.045682			
	LOQ	0.125684			

6. STABILITY STUDIES

Results of degradation studies:

The results of the stress studies indicated the specificity of the method that has been developed. Topiramate was stable in acidic and thermal stress conditions. The result of forced degradation studies are given in the following table.

Table : Degradation studies for Alogliptin					
	Alogliptin				
Solvents	Peak area	% Drug			
Mobile phase		100.00			
Methanol: Phosphate Buffer (65:35)	116731				
Acidic – 0.1N – HCl	116708	99.98			
Alkaline – 0.1N – NaOH	114502	98.09			



Thermal - 150°C - 24hrs.	116719	99.99
Photolytic - UV-light.	114012	97.68
Oxidation - 20% - H_2O_2	99957	85.63

VI. CONCLUSION

A simple reverse phase HPLC method was developed for the estimation of Topiramate in bulk and tablet dosage form. A Symmetry C18, 250 mm x 4.6 mm i.d.5µm particle size column from Waters in isocratic mode, with mobile phase containing Phosphate Buffer: Methanol (35:65). The flow rate was 1.0ml/min and effluent was monitored at UV wavelength of 265.0 nm. The retention times were 3.744 min for Topiramate. The linearity and range was found to be in the range of 0-70 µg/ml for Topiramate. The correlation coefficient of Topiramate was found to be 0.999, which indicates a perfect correlation. The method was validated as per ICH guidelines for accuracy calculated as % recovery was in the range of 98.0% to 102.0%. Accuracy, precision, system suitability, LOD & LOQ were determined. Degradation studies were done to find the loss of drug by acid, alkali, thermal, photo & oxidation, all are found within the limit so it was concluded that the developed method was precise, accurate and robust for determination of % purity in formulation of combined tablet dosage form. The statistical analysis of the data showed that the method is reproducible and selective for the estimation of Topiramate in tablet dosage form during routine analysis.

BIBILOGRAPHY

- [1]. R. Patil: J of Chromatographia, 67, 575,(2008).
- [2]. Rasayan Journal of chemistry Vol. 5 | No.1 | 90-105 | January-March | 2012ISSN: 0974-1496 | CODEN: RJCABP.
- [3]. <u>IUBMB Life.</u> 2009 Apr;61(4):470-5. Doi: 10.1002/iub.181.
- [4]. Baht and Leena:J of Liq. Chrom., 30, 309, (2007).
- [5]. H.H.Williard, L.L.Merit, F.A.Dean and F.A.Settle, Instrumental methods of analysis, 7th edition, C.B.S.Publishers, New Delhi,(2002).
- [6]. GN Menon, LB White, Department of Analytical Research, Abbott Laboratories, (pubmed- index for MEDLINE).
- [7]. International Conference on Harmonization, "Q2A: Text on Validation of Analytical Procedures," Federal Register 60(40), 11260–

11262 (1995).

- [8]. International Conference on Harmonization, "Q2B: Validation of Analytical Procedures: Methodology; Availability," Federal Register 62(96), 27463–27467 (1997).
- [9]. FDA, "Analytical Procedures and Methods Validation: Chemistry, Manufacturing and Controls Documentation; Availability," Federal Register (Notices) 65(169), 52776– 52777 (2000).
- [10]. G.A. Shabir, "Validation of HPLC Chromatography Methods for Pharmaceutical Analysis. Understanding the Differences and Similarities Between Validation Requirements of FDA, the US Pharmacopeia and the ICH," J. Chromatogr. A. 987(1-2), 57-66 (2003).
- [11]. N. Torrealday: J of Pharmaceutical and biomed. Ana., 32, 847, (2003).
- [12]. Validation of analytical procedures, Methodology, ICH harmonized tripartite guideline, 108, 1996.
- [13]. FDA Drug Approvals List [online](cited 26 Aug 2003).
- [14]. J. M. Green, A practical guide to analytical method validation, Anal. Chem. News & Features, 1 May 1996, pp. 305A–309A.
- [15]. P. A. Winslow and R. F. Meyer, Defining a master plan for the validation of analytical methods, J. Validation Technology, pp. 361– 367 (1997).
- [16]. Mahadev B Kshirsagar*, Moreshwar P. Mahajan, Sanjay D. Sawant., Method Development and Validation by RP-HPLC for Estimation of Topiramate in Bulk and Pharmaceutical Dosage form, International Journal of ChemTech Research, Vol.10 No.7, pp 843-849, 2017.
- [17]. 1*Ranjana Kumari, 2 Shyamala and 3Dr. J. V. C. Sharma, stability indicating method development and validation of topiramate using rp-hplc in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage forms, european journal of pharmaceutical and medical research, ejpmr, 2015,2(7), 264-288.
- [18]. Sivakameswari Somireddy*, Sureshkannan.V, Nagaraju P.T., Dr.Venugopal. K, Muralikrishna. N.V,



Ramadevi.R, analytical method development and validation for estimation of topiramate bulk and pharmaceutical dosage form by using hplc method, World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Volume 4, Issue 10, 1043-1060.

- [19]. Ali mohammadi, nasrin rezanour, mahdi ansari and roderick b. walker*, Development of a Stability-Indicating High Performance Liquid Chromatographic Method for the Analysis of Topiramate and Dissolution Rate Testing in Topiramate Tablets, Asian Journal of Chemistry Vol. 22, No. 5 (2010), 3856-3866.
- [20]. 1*Ranjana Kumari, 2 Shyamala and 3Dr. J. V. C. Sharma, stability indicating method development and validation of topiramate using rp-hplc in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage forms, european journal of pharmaceutical and medical research, ejpmr, 2015,2(7), 264-288.
- [21]. Sivakameswari Somireddy*, Sureshkannan.V, Nagaraju P.T., Dr.Venugopal. K, Muralikrishna. N.V, Ramadevi.R, analytical method development and validation for estimation of topiramate bulk and pharmaceutical dosage form by using hplc method, World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Volume 4, Issue 10, 1043-1060.
- [22]. Ali mohammadi, nasrin rezanour, mahdi ansari and roderick b. walker*, Development of a Stability-Indicating High Performance Liquid Chromatographic Method for the Analysis of Topiramate and Dissolution Rate Testing in Topiramate Tablets, Asian Journal

of Chemistry Vol. 22, No. 5 (2010), 3856-3866.

METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND OPTIMIZATION

To undertake solubility and analytical studies of Topiramate and to develop initial UV and chromatographic conditions.. Setting up of initial UV and chromatographic conditions for the method development in pure and pharmaceutical dosage forms. Optimization of initial chromatographic and spectrophotometric conditions.. Analytical method validation of the developed RP- HPLC method. Quantitative determination of Topiramate in pharmaceutical dosage form using the method developed and validated.

Sample and Standard preparation for the UV-spectrophotometer analysis:

10mg of Topiramate standard was transferred into 10ml volumetric flask, dissolved & make up to volume with mobile phase. Additionally dilution was done by transferring 1ml of the resulted above solution into a 10ml volumetric flask and make up the volume up to the mark with the mobile phase The standard & sample stock solutions were prepared separately by dissolving standard & sample in a solvent in mobile phase diluting with the same solvent.(After optimization of all conditions) for UV analysis. It scanned in the UV spectrum in the range of 200 to 400nm. While scanning the Topiramate solution we observed the maxima at 265 nm. The UV spectrum was recorded on ELICO SL-159 make UV-Vis spectrophotometer model UV-2450. The UV spectrum scanned is attached in





Mobile Phase:

Mobile phase preparation:

The mobile phase used in this analysis consists of a mixture of Phosphate Buffer

(0.01M potassium dihydrogen phosphate & pH adjusted to 5.2 with ortho phosphoric acid) and Methanol in a ratio of 35:2

Preparation of Standard solution:

Working concentration should be around 40μ g/ml.

Accurately weighed around 25mg of Topiramate working standard, taken into a 25 ml

volumetric flask, then dissolved and diluted to volume with the mobile phase to obtain a

solution having a known concentration of about 1000 mcg/ml.Further dilutions has been made to get the final concentration of 40 μ g/ml

Preparation of Test solution:

Diluted quantitatively an accurately measured volume of label claim solution with diluents to obtain a solution containing about a linear range.

PREPARATION OF MOBILE PHASE AND DILUENT:

1. Preparation of Phosphate buffer:

Weigh exactly 6.8 grams of Potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate andtransferred into a 1 litre of beaker, dissolved and diluted up to 1000ml with HPLC Gradewater. The pH was adjusted to 5.20 with diluted ortho-phosphoric acid solution.

2. Mobile phase Preparation:

650 ml of Acetonitrile HPLC (65%) and 350ml (35%) of phosphate buffer are mixed well

and degassed in ultrasonic water bath for 15 minutes. The solution was filtered through

0.45µm filter under vacuum filtration.

Mobile phase-A :Potassium di-hydrogen ortho phosphate buffer solution-(pH 5.20)

Mobile phase-B :Methanol

3. Diluent Preparation:

Mobile phase is used as a diluent for the preparation of solutions.

Preparation of the Topiramate Standard & Sample Solution:

Standard Solution Preparation:

Weigh exactly and transferred 10 mg of Topiramate standard working into a 10ml clean dry

volumetric flask add around 8ml of Diluent and sonicated to dissolve it totally and make the

volume up to the mark with the same solvent. (Stock solution). From the above stock

solution, 0.1ml was pipette into a 10ml volumetric flask and diluted up to the mark with

diluent.

Preparation of Sample:

First weigh 20 tablets and estimate the average weight of each tablet. Then the weight which was equivalent to 10mg powders was transferred into a 500 ml volumetric flask, 260ml of diluent added and sonicated for 25 minutes, further the volume made upto the market with the diluent and filtered. From the filtered solution 1ml was pipeted out into a 10 ml volumetric flask and made upto 10ml with diluent.

Estimation of Topiramate in Standard Tablet Dosage Form Standard









Table: Results of Topiramate in Standard Tablet Dosage Form Sample

S.No.	Rt	Peak Area	Theoretical Plates	Tailing Factor
1	3.740	116731	3263	1.53

METHOD VALIDATION

Accuracy:

The test for accuracy is intended to demonstrate the closeness of agreement between the value found and the value that is accepted either as conventional true value or as an accepted reference value. Thus the accuracy of the method is the closeness of the measured value to the true value for the sample. The accuracy can also be determined by recovery of the impurity spiked to a drug substance or into placebo with drug substance. The percentage recovery with the certain acceptance criteria at each defined level is reported. Accuracy should be assessed using a minimum of nine determinations at a minimum of three concentration levels covering the specified range.



						ADD	
ACCURACY 80%	Y	М	С	y-c	X=y-c/m	ppm	%recovery
1	90846	2663	3896	86950	32.651	32	102.034
2	89173	2663	3896	85277	32.022	32	100.068
3	88405	2663	3896	84509	31.734	32	99.168
						ADD	
ACCURACY 100%	Y	М	С	y-c	X=y-c/m	ppm	%recovery
1	112352	2663	3896	108456	40.726	40	101.815
2	108957	2663	3896	105061	39.452	40	98.63
3	111279	2663	3896	107383	40.324	40	100.81
						ADD	
ACCURACY 120%	Y	М	С	y-c	X=y-c/m	ppm	%recovery
1	133541	2663	3896	129645	48.683	48	101.433
2	130283	2663	3896	126387	47.460	48	98.875
3	131456	2663	3896	127560	47.900	48	99.791

Tables A sources	studios	of Tot	iromoto	tablat	docago	form
Table: Accuracy	studies (лтор	orramate	tablet	dosage	Iorm:

% Recovery	Topiramate
% Recovery At 80%	102.034
	100.068
	99.168
Avg.	100.4233
Standard Deviation	1.465669
%RSD	1.45949
% Recovery At 100%	101.815
	98.63
	100.81
Avg.	100.4183
Standard Deviation	1.628222
%RSD	1.621439
Recovery at 120%	101.433
	98.875
	99.791
Avg.	100.033
Standard Deviation	1.296057
%RSD	1.29563

Table: Accuracy studies for drugs as per % recovery at different levels

Precision and Reproducibility:

Precision of a method is the extent to which individual test results of multiple injections of a series of standards agree the measured standard deviation can be sub divided into three categories:

Repeatability: Repeatability expresses the precision under the same operating conditions over a short interval of time. it is also termed as intra-day precision.

Intermediate precision: intermediate precision expresses within laboratories variations: different day, different analyst, different equipmentsetc

Reproducibility: Reproducibility expresses the precision between laboratories collaborative studies, usually supplied to standardization of methodology.

Linearity:

The purpose of the test for linearity is to demonstrate that the entire analytical system (including detector and data acquisition) exhibits a linear response and is directly proportional over the relevant concentration range for the target concentration of the analyte. It is recommended to perform the linearity of the API and related substances independently and once linearity has demonstrated, linearity could be performed containing both API and specific related substance if necessary. At least five concentrations within the range specified above for linearity test should be used.



S. No.	CONC. (µg/ml)	AUC (n=6)		
1				
	0	0		
2				
	20	59731		
3				
	30	85677		
4				
	40	112028		
5				
	50	139014		
6				
	60	161889		
7				
	70	188021		

Linearity Curve for Topiramate 200000 v = 2663 x + 3896 180000 160000 $R^2 = 0.998$ 140000 Pekak Area 120000 100000 80000 -AUC (n=6) 60000 Linear (AUC (n=6)) 40000 20000 0 20 40 60 80 Conc. in ppm

Figure: Linearity Curve for Topiramate (iv) Limit of Detection:

LOD is defined as lowest concentration of the analyte that can be detected, but not

necessarily quantified, by the analytical method.

The limit of detection (LOD) may be expressed as LOD = 10 / S

Where: = the standard deviation of the response; S = slope of calibration curve of analyte.

(v) Limit of Quantification:

LOQ is defined as the lowest concentration of the analyte that can be determined with

acceptable accuracy and precision by the analytical method.

The limit of detection (LOQ) may be expressed as LOQ = 10 / S

Where: = the standard deviation of the response; S = slope of calibration curve of analyte.

	Topiramate
LOD	0.045682
LOQ	0.125684

Table: Showing LOD and LOQ for the drugs. (vi) Robustness:

Robustness tests examine the effect operational parameters have on the analysis results by changing the Flow rate, mobile phase ratio, column temperature, change of column, etc;

6.5. Degradation studies:(i) Acid Degradation Studies:

To 1ml of stock solution Topiramate, add 1ml of 0.1N- HCl (Hydrochloric acid) was

added and kept for 24hrs. The resultant solution was diluted to obtain 10µg/ml and

 $10\mu g/ml$ solutions and $10\mu l$ were injected into the system and the chromatograms were

recorded to assess the stability of sample.

(ii) Alkali Degradation Studies:

To 1 ml of stock solution Topiramate, 1 ml of 1N sodium hydroxide was added and kept

for 24hrs. The resultant solution was diluted to obtain $10\mu g/ml$ and $10\mu g/ml$ solution and

10µl were injected into the system and the chromatograms were recorded to assess the stability of sample.

(iii) Dry Heat Degradation Studies:

The standard drug solution was placed in oven at 500c for 24hrs to study dry heat

degradation. For HPLC study, the resultant solution was diluted to $10\mu g/ml$ and $10\mu g/ml$

solutions and 10µl were injected into the system and the chromatograms were recorded

to assess the stability of the sample.

(iv)Photo Stability studies:

The photochemical stability of the drug was also considered by revealing the $10\mu g/ml$ and

10µg/ml solution to UV Light (254nm) by maintenance the beaker in UV Chamber for 24hrs, Page 32

For HPLC study the ensuing solution was diluted to get hold of 10µg/ml and 10µg/ml

solutions and 10 μ l were injected into the system and the chromatograms were record to

review the stability of sample.

(v) Oxidation Stability Studies:

To 1 ml of stock solution of Topiramate, 30ml of 3% hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) was

added separately. The solutions were kept for 24hrs. For HPLC study, the resultant

solution was diluted to obtain $10\mu g/ml$ and $10\mu g/ml$ solution and $10\mu l$ were injected into

the system and the chromatograms were recorded to assess the stability of sample.

Table : Linearity results for Topiramate with graph.



Oxidation - 20% - H ₂ O ₂	99957	85.63
Photolytic - UV-light.	114012	97.68
Thermal - 150°C - 24hrs.	116719	99.99
Alkaline – 0.1N – NaOH	114502	98.09
Acidic - 0.1N - HCl	116708	99.98
Methanol: Phosphate Buffer (65:35)	116731	
Mobile phase		100.00
Solvents	Peak area	% Drug
	Alogliptin	

Table : Degradation studies for Alogliptin

7. CONCLUSION

A simple reverse phase HPLC method was developed for the estimation of Topiramate in bulk and tablet dosage form. A Symmetry C18, 250 mm x 4.6 mm i.d.5µm particle size column from Waters in isocratic mode, with mobile phase containing Phosphate Buffer: Methanol (35:65). The flow rate was 1.0ml/min and effluent was monitored at UV wavelength of 265.0 nm. The retention times were 3.744 min for Topiramate. The linearity and range was found to be in the range of 0-70 µg/ml for Topiramate. The correlation coefficient of Topiramate was found to be 0.999, which indicates a perfect correlation. The method was validated as per ICH guidelines for accuracy calculated as % recovery was in the range of 98.0% to 102.0%. Accuracy, precision, system suitability, LOD & LOQ were determined. Degradation studies were done to find the loss of drug by acid, alkali, thermal, photo& oxidation, all are found within the limit so it was concluded that the developed method was precise, accurate and robust for determination of % purity in formulation of combined tablet dosage form. The statistical analysis of the data showed that the method is reproducible and selective for the estimation of Topiramate in tablet dosage form during routine analysis.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1]. R. Patil: J of Chromatographia, 67, 575,(2008).
- [2]. Rasayan Journal of chemistry Vol. 5 | No.1 | 90-105 | January-March | 2012ISSN: 0974-1496| CODEN: RJCABP.
- [3]. IUBMB Life. 2009 Apr;61(4):470-5. Doi: 10.1002/iub.181.
- [4]. Baht and Leena:J of Liq. Chrom., 30, 309, (2007).

- [5]. H.H.Williard, L.L.Merit, F.A.Dean and F.A.Settle, Instrumental methods of analysis, 7th
- [6]. edition, C.B.S.Publishers, New Delhi,(2002).
- [7]. GN Menon, LB White, Department of Analytical Research, Abbott Laboratories, (pubmedindexfor MEDLINE).
- [8]. International Conference on Harmonization, "Q2A: Text on Validation of Analytical
- [9]. Procedures," Federal Register 60(40), 11260– 11262 (1995).
- [10]. International Conference on Harmonization, "Q2B: Validation of Analytical Procedures:
- [11]. Methodology; Availability," Federal Register 62(96), 27463–27467 (1997).
- [12]. FDA, "Analytical Procedures and Methods Validation: Chemistry, Manufacturing and
- [13]. Controls Documentation; Availability," Federal Register (Notices) 65(169), 52776– 52777
- [14]. (2000).
- [15]. G.A. Shabir, "Validation of HPLC Chromatography Methods for Pharmaceutical Analysis.Understanding the Differences and Similarities Between Validation Requirements of FDA, the US Pharmacopeia and the ICH," J. Chromatogr. A. 987(1-2), 57-66 (2003).
- [16]. N. Torrealday: J of Pharmaceutical and biomed. Ana., 32, 847, (2003).
- [17]. Validation of analytical procedures, Methodology, ICH harmonized tripartite guideline, 108,1996.
- [18]. FDA Drug Approvals List [online](cited 26 Aug 2003).
- [19]. J. M. Green, A practical guide to analytical method validation, Anal. Chem. News &
- [20]. Features, 1 May 1996, pp. 305A-309A.
- [21]. P. A. Winslow and R. F. Meyer, Defining a master plan for the validation of analytical
- [22]. methods, J. Validation Technology, pp. 361– 367 (1997).
- [23]. Mahadev B Kshirsagar*, Moreshwar P. Mahajan, Sanjay D. Sawant., Method Development and Validation by RP-HPLC for Estimation of Topiramate in Bulk and Pharmaceutical Dosage form, International Journal of ChemTech Research, Vol.10 No.7, pp 843-849, 2017.
- [24]. 1*RanjanaKumari, 2 Shyamala and 3Dr. J. V. C. Sharma, stability indicating method
- [25]. development and validation of topiramate using rp-hplc in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage



- [26]. forms, european journal of pharmaceutical and medical research, ejpmr, 2015,2(7), 264-288.
- [27]. SivakameswariSomireddy*, Sureshkannan.V, Nagaraju P.T., Dr.Venugopal. K,
- [28]. Muralikrishna. N.V, Ramadevi.R, analytical method development and validation for
- [29]. estimation of topiramate bulk and pharmaceutical dosage form by using hplc method, World
- [30]. Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Volume 4, Issue 10, 1043-1060.
- [31]. Ali mohammadi, nasrinrezanour, mahdiansari and roderick b. walker*, Development of a Stability-Indicating High Performance Liquid Chromatographic Method for the Analysis of
- [32]. Topiramate and Dissolution Rate Testing in Topiramate Tablets, Asian Journal of Chemistry
- [33]. Vol. 22, No. 5 (2010), 3856-3866.